

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,761	03/18/2004	Brian W. Pritchard	87431.1780	4689	
7:	590 04/21/2005	EXAMINER			
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			JIANG, CHEN WEN		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON SQUARE SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		3744		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<i>(</i>)			
		App	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	802,761		PRITCHARD ET AL.				
		Exa	miner		Art Unit				
			n-Wen Jiang		3744				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply will Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this coming ply specified above is less than thirty (in ply is specified above, the maximum so thin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, m the statutory minimum y and will expire SIX (6) the application to becor	ay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.			
Status									
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 March</i> .	2004.						
	• •	2b)⊠ This actio							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-4 and 7-21 is/are pending above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to	are withdrawn fro	m consideration		-				
Application Pape	rs								
9)☐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	may not request that any obje			•	` '				
	nent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected t					• •			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
a)	edgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority ertified copies of the priority epies of the certified copies plication from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received cuments have b T Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)			÷						
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (F osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date		Paper		(PTO-413) te atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Figs.4,8,11 and method claims.
 - II. Figs. 5,9,12 and method claims.
 - III. Figs.6,10,13 and method claims.
 - IV. Figs. 4,8,11 and apparatus claims.
 - V. Figs. 5,9,12 and apparatus claims.
 - VI. Figs.6,10,13 and apparatus claims.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I-III and Group IV-VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case another materially different apparatus such as air conditioner and refrigerator can practice the process as claimed.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3744

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group IV-VI is not required for Group I-III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

Page 3

is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species: Figs. 4,8 and 11.

Species: Figs. 5,9 and 12.

Species: Figs.6,10 and 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct.

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 3744

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner <u>-75</u>